

## F2 Stand-Alone Programme 2021

### Situational Judgement Test (SJT) - Practice Paper

#### Instructions:

- In this test, you are presented with typical scenarios that F2 Doctors encounter and you are asked questions about dealing with them. When answering the questions, please consider yourself to be a F2 Doctor. We appreciate you may sometimes feel that you would like more information before answering; please give your best answer based on the information provided in the question.
- The real paper is designed to take 45 minutes and has 20 scenarios. This practice paper contains one scenario only, to help applicants familiarise themselves with the type and format of questions they will be asked in the real paper.
- An answer key is not provided.
- For this test scenario, there are 6 responses. You need to rate the responses to the scenario using a series of options, using the scale below:
  1. *Extremely desirable* – an option is extremely desirable if it is a very appropriate thing to do in response to the scenario and aligns exactly with what is expected of a F2 doctor.
  2. *Slightly desirable* – an option is slightly desirable if it is an appropriate thing to do in response to the scenario, but is not ideal. It is somewhat aligned with what is expected of a F2 doctor.
  3. *Neither desirable nor undesirable* – an option is neither desirable nor undesirable if it is something that is neither inappropriate nor appropriate to do in response to the scenario. It does not oppose or align with what is expected of a F2 doctor.
  4. *Slightly undesirable* – an option is slightly undesirable if it is an inappropriate thing to do in response to the scenario, but is not terrible. It is somewhat opposed to what is expected of a F2 doctor.
  5. *Extremely undesirable* – an option is extremely undesirable if it is a very inappropriate thing to do in response to the scenario and directly opposes what is expected of a F2 doctor.

#### Please Note:

- Each response option should be treated independently. You should make a judgement regarding each response option, independent from the other options presented for each scenario. For example, an action does not need to resolve all aspects of the dilemma to be desirable; therefore, a response option can be rated as extremely desirable if it only addresses one aspect of the dilemma.

- Within a single scenario, each rating can be used more than once or not at all; for example, all response options within a scenario can be given the same rating of 'extremely desirable' if you feel that this is the correct rating for each response option.
- It may be that some options are desirable in the short term (i.e. immediately addressing a wrongdoing) and some options are desirable in the long term (i.e. discussing the implications of the wrongdoing after the event). Please consider the desirability of a response option irrelevant of the timeframe.
- There is no negative marking; you should therefore attempt all the questions.

## Example scenario

Lola is observing a patient consultation in a primary care clinic. The patient has attended as he has a rash on his stomach that he has had for a long period of time and is concerned about. The patient removed his shirt to have the rash examined and makes a joke about his own weight at the same time. Lola laughs at the patient's joke, however, the patient looks upset and offended by this.

How desirable are each of the following responses by **Lola** in this situation?

*Extremely desirable (1) - Slightly desirable (2) - Neither desirable nor undesirable (3) - Slightly undesirable (4) - Extremely undesirable (5)*

		1	2	3	4	5
1	Make a joke about her own weight to the patient					
2	Continue to laugh at other things during the consultation					
3	Ask the patient if she has offended him					
4	Apologise to the patient for laughing					
5	Ask the patient if there was a reason that he made a joke about his weight					
6	Ask the patient if he would be happy for her to remain in the room for the remainder of the consultation					